

The use of an oral mandibular advancement device out of thermo flexible vinyl in connection with sleep related breathing disturbances.

J.T. Maurer, B. Werner, C. Juncker, F. Riedel,  
K. Hörmann

Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Effectiveness of Mandibular Advancement Devices

(ASDA-Review, Schmidt-Nowara 1994)

- Abnormalities (14 studies)
  - AHI reduction of approx. >50%: 70%
  - Middle AHI with and without device: 42/18
  - Certain patients bad AHI
  - S1 reduced, SWS and REM increased
- Snoring (9 studies)
  - Subjective in >95% improved
  - Objective testing only with 65 patients

# Effectiveness of Advancement Devices

(ASDA-Review, Schmidt-Nowara 1994)

- Compliance (8 studies): 75%
- Unwanted side effects
  - Jaw joint pain
  - Discomfort
  - Bite changes

# Problem Definition

Only single lower jaw protractors

- Therapy success not always predictable
- Acceptance not always predictable
- Individual manufactured often too expensive
  - ↳ Trial use of simple devices helpful

# Requirements for the Mandibular Advancement Device

- Easy Fitting
  - Easy size selection
  - No special apparatus required
  - Fitting is not harmful
  - Wrong fitting can be corrected
  - Not time consuming
- Individual adjustments possible
- Lower jaw adjustment controllable
- Can be used for several months
- Inexpensive

# Methodology Prior to Fitting

- Diagnostic assurance based on stepped diagnostic
- Otolaryngology examination
- Dental examination
- Mueller maneuver
- Jaw geometry and teeth imaging

# Method for Fitting of SnorBan

- One size only
- Trial fit before softening the mouthpiece
- 10 seconds in water taken off the boil point
- Fit onto lower teeth
- Slide onto upper teeth
- Slides the lower jaw up to 50-75% forward
- Bite firmly
- During the cooling process, press with tongue and fingers on to gum and teeth

# Method After the Adaptation Time

- ▶ Questionnaire
- ▶ Polysomnograph
- ▶ Otolaryngology check up
- ▶ Dental check up
- ▶ Jaw Geometry
- ▶ Mann-Whitney-U-Test, Wilcoxon-Test, Variation Analysis

# Prospective Patients


All patients

- ▶ Selection of 53 consecutive patients
  - ▶ 5 patients (9%) refused
  - ▶ 7 patients (13%) denied for dental reasons
- ▶ 41 patients (78%) were provided with SnorBan
  - ▶ 39 patients (74% / 95%) returned for follow-up

# Prospective Patient

Check on existing patients


- ▶33 Male, 6 Female
- ▶51.1 ± 9.2 years
- ▶BMI = 27.4 ± 4.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
- ▶Average wearing length: 4 (1-24) weeks
- ▶Not Permanent getting used to : 10 patients
- ▶Average time getting used to: 4 (0-21) days
- ▶Average wearing / nights: 7 (1-8) hours
- ▶Average repetitive wearing / weeks: 7 (1-7)



# Repetitively of the Refitting


Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Original Faulty Teeth / Variations



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Discomfort in the Morning



# Fitting


## SnorBan



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center


# Modifications

## SnorBan




Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Unwanted Reactions



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center


# Orthopedic Diagram



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Dental Diagnosis


SnorBan



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Satisfaction


SnorBan



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center


# Intensity of Snoring

SnorBan




# Overall Results

SnorBan




Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Dental Result



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Cleaning of the Device



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Effectiveness with SnorBan

## Respiratory Parameters

* =p<0.05 ** = p<0.01	Without SnorBan	With SnorBan	Improvement
AHI (n/h)	16.6 ± 15.6	8.2 ± 10.7 **	51%
Arousal Index (n/h)	12.5 ± 11.5	9.3 ± 8.4*	26%
Average Oxygen Intake %	93.5 ± 1.8	93.9 ± 1.7	0.4%
Minimum Oxygen Intake %	82.8 ± 6.8	86.8 ± 6.3 **	4.7%
Snoring Period %SPT	16.3 ± 15.5	6.6 ± 12.2 *	59.5%

Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Effectiveness with SnorBan


## Sleep Parameters

* = p<.05	Without SnorBan	With SnorBan	Changes
SE (%)	83.7 ± 11.0	84.3 ± 11.1	7%
SW (%)	16.7 ± 9.8	15.7 ± 10.3	6%
S1 (%)	16.9 ± 8.3	13.0 ± 7.9	23%
S2 (%)	40.3 ± 13.5	36.2 ± 11.4	10%
SWS (%)	12.8 ± 10.1	16.5 ± 12.8	29%
REM (%)	12.1 ± 7.8	16.5 ± 10.0	32%

# Kephalometrie


* =p<0.01	Without SnorBan	With SnorBan	Correlation to AHI change
SNA (*)	79.5 ± 5.0		
SNB (*)	79.4 ± 4.8	82.3 ± 4.5 *	
ArGoMe (*)	128.8 ± 6.4		
MP-H (mm)	25.9 ± 8.4	21.7 ± 6.8 *	
PAS (mm)	11.1 ± 3.4	14.3 ± 3.8 *	
Maximum UK Protrusion (mm)	9.5 ± 2.0		
UK-Protrusion (mm)		4.6 ± 1.6	0.42

# Changes of AHI Through SnorBan Mouthpiece



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Improvement of AHI after AHI study



Univ. -HNO Clinic, Mannheim, Sleep Medicine Center

# Summary

- ▶ In one case increase of AHI (6.5 to 25.6), did not get accustomed to device
- ▶ Improvement of AHI of 50-80%, if AHI > 10/h
- ▶ Reduction to AHI <10/h possible even if AHI is high (56.5 to 3.8)
- ▶ With SnorBan AHI > 10/h in 36% of patients
- ▶ Compliance of 75%